

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 TRC & ASSOCIATES,
12 Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,
13 v.
14 NUSCIENCE CORPORATION; LUMINA
15 HEALTH PRODUCTS, INC., and DOES
16 1-20, inclusive
17 Defendants/Counterclaimants.

18 NUSCIENCE CORPORATION,
19 Third-Party Plaintiff,
20 v.
21 JOHN CLARK; DAVID MCKINNEY; and
22 STEPHEN E. ABRAHAM
23 Third-Party Defendants .

24 LUMINA HEALTH PRODUCTS, INC.,
25 Third-Party Plaintiff,
26 v.
27 JOHN CLARK
28 Third-Party Defendants.


Case No. 2:13-cv-6903-ODW(CWx)

**ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER
TO SHOW CAUSE RE. SUBJECT-
MATTER AND SUPPLEMENTAL
JURISDICTION OVER LUMINA
HEALTH'S COUNTERCLAIM AND
THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT [81]**

1 On December 19, 2013, the Court ordered Defendants NuScience Corporation
2 and Lumina Health Products, Inc. to show cause why the Counterclaims and Third-
3 Party Complaints filed in this action should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.
4 (ECF No. 81.) Lumina responded to the Order on December 23, 2013, indicating that
5 it believes there is a legal basis for jurisdiction over the counterclaims, but that the
6 Third-Party Complaint would be withdrawn. (ECF No. 82, Ex. A.) Based on
7 Lumina's representations, the Court hereby **DISCHARGES** the Order to Show Cause
8 **as it relates to Lumina.**¹ (ECF No. 81.) The Court expects a voluntary dismissal of
9 the Third-Party Complaint against John Clark to be filed within 14 days. (ECF No.
10 74.) Lumina's counterclaims are subject to regular motion practice.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12
13 January 2, 2014

14
15 

16 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**
17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 ¹ The Court is still evaluating NuScience's response to the Order to Show Cause and a separate order will issue.